

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/726,050	12/02/2003	Krishna Seshan	884-380US2	2687
7590 07/27/2004			EXAMINER	
Schwegman, I	Lundberg, Woessner &	VU, DAVID		
P.O. Box 2938			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Minneapolis, M	N 55402		2818	
			DATE MAILED: 07/27/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_ ~		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		10/726,050	seshan, krishna $oldsymbol{ heta}^{oldsymbol{\iota}}$
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		DAVID VU	2818
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicat or Reply	ion appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
THE - External effect - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 33 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutore to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a lation. 1ys, a reply within the statutory minimum of thir 1yr period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON 1y statute, cause the application to become Af	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. VTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	n <u>02 December 2003</u> .	
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)	oxtimes This action is non-final.	
3)□	Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice	•	• •
Dispositi	ion of Claims		
5) 6) 7)	Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are pending in the appleau of the above claim(s) is/are version is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> are subject to restriction and claim(s)	vithdrawn from consideration.	
Applicati	on Papers		
•	The specification is objected to by the E		
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		
	Applicant may not request that any objection		
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to by		
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doc 2. Certified copies of the priority doc 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International see the attached detailed Office action for	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in A he priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage
Attachmen	t(s)		
1) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)
3) 🔲 Inforr	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTC r No(s)/Mail Date	· —	s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/726,050

Art Unit: 2818

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U. S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-15, drawn to an integrated circuit classified in class 257, subclass 737.
- II. Claims 16-29, drawn to semiconductor manufacturing method, classified in class438, subclass 613.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the device of the group I invention could be made by a materially different process from that of the group II invention, for example, the device claimed can be form in a manner other than identifying at least one circuit element that is not optimally functioning; and coupling the at least one floating terminal to the at least one circuit element.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, the search required for Group II is not required for Group I, and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant, is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143)

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(1).

If Applicant elects the invention of Group II, the application is further subject to restriction as follows:

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

- a) Species I, drawn to a method of testing an integrated circuit (Claims 16-23).
- b) Species II, drawn to a method of fabricating an integrated circuit (Claims 24-29).

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP \$809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Vu whose telephone number is (571) 272-1798.

The examiner can normally be reached from 8:30 AM- 5:30 PM if attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Nelms can be reached on (571) 272-1787. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular communications and (703) 872-9306 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

David Vu

Sheland

July 22, 2004